• PRINTER RUSH • (PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : 10/712,0	80 Examiner : E	Inh V. La	GAU:	2636
From: S. Winsto	<u>ω</u> Location: (IDC) FMF FDC	Date:	10-25-05
Tracking #: EPM 10/713,080 Week Date: 8-33-05				
DOC CODE 1449 IDS CLM IIFW SRFW DRW OATH 312 SPEC	7-12-05	MISCELLA Continuing D Foreign Prior Document Le Fees Other NOA	Data rity egibility	5
[RUSH] MESSAGE: Examiner's amendment (p.4) states "a remote device" has been changed to "the remote device" in claim 29 lines 1-2, however Claim 29 does not have the phrase "a remote device".				
Please advise Shanks				
[XRUSH] RESPONSE: The Examiner La made a typographical error in the Office Action dated on Aug. 17, 2005. A Supplemental Notice of Allowability has been made to correct this error. The phrase "a remote device" has been changed to - Or memory device				
Thank you very much INITIALS: Anh ha				

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04